

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,730	09/12/2003	Abraham Gross	Q77482	4923
72875 7590 11/07/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037			HEINRICH, SAMUEL M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				TAI BRITOMBER
		NOTIFICATION DA	1793	
				·
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/07/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@sughrue.com kghyndman@sughrue.com USPatDocketing@sughrue.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/660,730	GROSS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Samuel M. Heinrich	1725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a repl will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17.5 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matter	•			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 188-191 and 314 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 188-191 and 314 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is. Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/ľ	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application			

10/660,730 Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 188-191 and 314 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,515,257 to Jain et al in view of USPN 5,463,200 to James et al in view of USPN 6,977,775 to Sasaki et al.

Jain et al shows (Figure 1) laser source 26 which provides input to microlens array 10 and micro-mirror array 12 directs or steers the beamlets independently.

James et al describe (column 2, lines 1-9) the laser spot can be adjusted by changing the focal length of the lens or by moving the workpiece. James et al describe (Abstract) a description of splitting the beam into a plurality of beams and independent

Application/Control Number:

10/660,730

Art Unit: 1725

focusing with respect to how each beamlet strikes the workpiece. James et al describe (column 8, lines 14+) the use of arrays of electro-optical and acousto-optical deflection devices.

Sasaki et al schematically show a focus element (e.g., Figure 7) of a sub-beam, not an f-theta optical element, and which provides independent focus of individual beams. Sasaki et al describe (column 7, lines 39-46) an "optical system for adjusting the beam spots of the sub-beams SB". Sasaki et al describe (column 8, lines 7-15) "focusing units 59 are movable within an allowable range".

The use of a movable optical element for independently optically focusing a beam in a system with independent beam steering would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art in order to provide a desired beam spot accuracy on a workpiece.

Claims 190 and 191 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,515,257 to Jain et al in view of USPN 5,463,200 to James et al in view of USPN 6,977,775 to Sasaki et al as applied to claim 189 above, and further in view of JP406043505A or JP02003051142A.

Both JP406043505A and JP02003051142A describe well known acoustooptical deflecting elements. The use thereof would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art for modulating the beam.

Claims 188-191 and 314 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,515,257 to Jain et al in view of USPN 5,463,200 to James et

Application/Control Number:

10/660,730

Art Unit: 1725

al in view of USPN 6,989,546 to Loschner et al in view of USPN 6,433,348 to Abboud et al.

Jain et al shows (Figure 1) laser source 26 which provides input to microlens array 10 and micro-mirror array 12 directs or steers the beamlets independently.

James et al describe (column 2, lines 1-9) the laser spot can be adjusted by changing the focal length of the lens or by moving the workpiece. James et al describe (Abstract) a description of splitting the beam into a plurality of beams and independent focusing with respect to how each beamlet strikes the workpiece. James et al describe (column 8, lines 14+) the use of arrays of electro-optical and acousto-optical deflection devices.

Loschner et al show focus elements, not f-theta optical elements, which provide independent focus of individual beams.

Abboud et al describe equivalent electron lens and optical lens depending on the beam tool being focused.

Adjustment of the focal length by moving a lens, as in Loschner et al, would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the adjustment changes the spot size and provides a desired beam spot accuracy on a workpiece.

Claims 190 and 191 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,515,257 to Jain et al in view of USPN 5,463,200 to James et al and in view of USPN 6,989,546 to Loschner et al and in view of USPN 6,433,348 to Abboud et

Application/Control Number:

10/660,730

Art Unit: 1725

al as applied to claim 189 above, and further in view of JP406043505A or JP02003051142A.

Both JP406043505A and JP02003051142A describe well known acoustooptical deflecting elements. The use thereof would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art for modulating the beam.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 17, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that none of the references teach or suggest "independently optically focusing..." as described in the last paragraph of claim 188. This argument is not convincing. As described in the rejection, both James et al and Sasaki et al describe this feature.

Applicant argues that none of the lenses of the microlens array provide any independent focusing, and none of the lenses of the microlens array are moveable." This argument is not convincing. One cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yamakawa and Ang describe f-theta lens system or group.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

10/660,730

Art Unit: 1725

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M. Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/660,730 Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725